



THEO 5102: Research Methods

North Park Theological Seminary
Spring Semester 2026, 3 credit hours

Professor: Evan Kuehn
Brandel 118
Email: ekuehn@northpark.edu
Phone: 773-244-4867
Office Hours: by appointment ([Book an appointment here](#))

*Syllabus subject to changes.

Course Description: An introduction to the sources, methods, and communication of research in each theological field. Required for MATS; recommended for students intending further graduate work. (from Academic Catalog)

The course focuses on research skills, the integration and synthesis of sources, and the presentation of material primarily through the medium of writing. Faculty in various fields (Biblical, historical, theological, and ministry) will participate in the instruction and assignments as needed. Successful completion of the course with a grade of A- or above is prerequisite to enrollment in Thesis I.

Learning Outcomes:

- CLO1:** Students will be able to formulate significant research questions
- CLO2:** Students will be able to utilize library resources
- CLO3:** Students will be able to critically analyze sources
- CLO4:** Students will be able to communicate research discoveries

Objectives:

This course emphasizes assigned readings and assignments on the practice of research as well as readings in your research subject.

Students will become skilled in:

- *finding and evaluating sources, including internet resources
- *analysis and argumentation
- *constructing and answering good research questions
- *building bibliographies
- *using the library
- *critical reading of texts, including putting sources in conversation with one another
- *formulation of good research projects, including focused subjects and theses

Students will be introduced to:

- *library resources
- *theological, biblical, and ministry sources
- *thesis format and style and the required thesis template

Course format: The course will be hybrid, partially on-campus and partially asynchronous online. We will meet in person on three Fridays for all day sessions (9 am-5 pm; with a one-hour lunch break): **February 6, March 6, and April 10**. Prior to, in between, and after the Friday sessions, we will work online asynchronously, posting and responding online but not meeting virtually, with material posted by me, student assignments shared online, and online discussions during certain weeks (as assigned).

Requirements & Assignments: While the students will be introduced to a variety of research methods, the goal is to become skilled in a specific research area.

Unless otherwise specified, assignments are due by 11:59 pm (CST) on the date indicated. This is a skill-building course, structured so that students can apply feedback on each assessment to subsequent work. For this reason, assessments must be submitted sequentially. I.e., each assignment can only be submitted *after* receiving instructive feedback on the prior assignment; multiple late assignments cannot be submitted at once.

1. Class Participation

Our synchronous sessions are primarily in seminar format, with emphasis on student collaborative work and discussion, and mutual assistance with research. This is one of the primary places where we engage in **active learning** and receive **feedback** for the work we're doing. This requires that students complete the readings and assignments prior to the Friday synchronous sessions and come prepared to discuss the scheduled subject. Note that there are some weeks when there will be no new assignments due, to provide extra time for independent work on your respective research project.

Because we will be covering a significant amount of material, attendance at all three Friday sessions is crucial. Any unexcused absences will be an "F" for the day and will affect your grade for the course.

2. Research Journal/Log

Throughout this course, you will keep a weekly log or journal of your research activity. You must log at least once a week because each week you will e-mail your log to me. Your notes do not need to be sentences, they can be sentence fragments, perhaps in the form of bullet points.

Your log should include the following every week:

1. Date.
2. A list of reading you have done on your research subject (NOT the assigned reading for the course), including the bibliographic information the first time you enter a source.
3. Notes on anything interesting you found, including notes on the questions that focus your research project.
4. New questions that arose from your reading.
5. Problems or insights that emerge in the course of your reading.
6. 20 minutes of "stream of consciousness" writing. This, like the rest, does not need to be edited at all.

The learning objectives of this assignment include the following:

1. To keep track of your research progress throughout the semester in case you need to find something quickly or retrieve ideas
2. To develop organizational and notetaking skills

3. To read every week in your subject area
4. To develop consistent writing habits that aid you in synthesizing what you have been reading
5. To find new questions, new solutions, and new problems in your research—all of which cultivate a more refined research question and approach.

This assignment will be graded on consistency, timeliness, and following the above guidelines. It will not be graded on writing style, content (other than that you are reading appropriate sources), or creativity.

Due: Your log for the previous week will be uploaded on Canvas by 11:59 pm Monday (Chicago time) of each week. The first journal/log is due Jan. 20.

3. Research Exercises

These will include assignments designed to refine students' research and critical thinking abilities.

Some will be done during the synchronous class sessions and evaluated by other students during those sessions. Some will be done during weeks when we are not meeting and thus will be posted online to be shared with the class.

Most of these assignments build on one another and will become incorporated into your final research paper. The lengths of the exercises vary. Some are relatively brief, some are longer. All should be completed in a Word document and uploaded to Canvas.

Late exercises will be accepted but will be graded down a half letter grade for every day they are late.

Due: See course calendar below for specific dates.

4. Bibliography

Build a working or preliminary bibliography around your focused subject. Your bibliography should include at least 20 entries. You do not need to have read an item to include it in this stage of your bibliography.

This bibliography differs from the earlier one that you compiled from reference works (Research Exercise 3) in that it should reflect your further research and consultations of some of the items. You may have decided to omit some works from the earlier bibliography and should include additional items.

Each entry must be **correctly cited** according to Chicago/Turabian style. For at least 3 of the entries, you must include an **annotation**. Describe the central purpose or claim of the item (book, article, chapter, etc.). You may want to use *How to Read a Book*, 46-47 (from chapter 5) as your loose guideline, though your annotation need not be as extensive as they suggest, i.e., you do not need to answer each of the 4 questions on 46-47.

Due: March 6, 9:00 am

5. Syntopical Reading Project.

This assignment is critical for constructing your thesis and outline for your research paper and builds on several of the previous research exercises. The key instructional framework for this assignment is chapter 20 of *How to Read a Book*. In this assignment, you will identify key terms and arguments and put sources in conversation with one another so that their varied vocabularies and perspectives can be integrated into your vocabulary and perspective. This will aid in identifying and refining your research question. For clarification of the steps, see *How to Read a Book*, 335-36 (you can also look at *From Topic to Thesis*, 77-85). Further instructions (you only need to turn in the steps that are marked with an *):

Part I

Step 1- Use your bibliography.

Step 2- Inspect all your books and sources. (See "Inspectional Reading," *How to Read a Book*, 31-44).

Part II

Step 1- Using at least 6 of the most relevant sources, identify the most important passages in each source. (You should already have used some of these sources in the *How to Read a Book* research exercises.)

*Step 2- Bring authors to terms (as Adler refers to it). What are the central categories and terms that *you* will use in your papers, and how do your main sources employ, define, describe each term/category? If they do not use these terms, what terms do they use? How do their terms relate to those that you have chosen to use? (see *How to Read a Book*, ch. 8)

*Step 3- List 3-5 central questions that each of your sources address (directly or indirectly).

*Step 4- Define the major issues and then the minor issues by showing the positions of your authors in context of the central questions of step 3.

*Step 5- Order the questions and issues in such a way as to throw maximum light on the subject. Show how the issues are related.

Due April 10.

6. Research Paper.

Write a 12-15 page research paper on a focused subject.

Your paper should have a clear question that you answer, should address a problem, and include a full bibliography of at least 20 sources. Ideally your paper will be a chapter (or some other unit) of your thesis or what you envision will be your thesis. If you are not planning on enrolling in Thesis I in a future semester, we will decide together on another subject for you.

Due: Friday May 8, 11:59 pm (Chicago time)

Grading Weights

Class Participation (includes class attendance, preparedness, abstract, and online discussion postings): 5%

Weekly Research Logs: 15% total

Research Exercises (10): 25% total

Article Analysis Papers (2): 10% total

Bibliography: 5%

Syntopical Reading Project: 15%
Research Paper: 25%

In Canvas you will see that assignments are graded on a point scale. Letter grades will be assigned according to scale printed in the Seminary Academic Catalog, p. 20:

A: Superior work (A = 95–100; A- = 93–94) This grade applies to exceptional work, the quality achieved through excellence of performance, not merely the fulfillment of the course requirements.

B: Above average (B+ = 91-92; B = 88-90; B- = 86-87) This grade applies to meritorious work, definitely above average, applied to more than the fulfillment of requirements.

C: Average (C+ = 84-85; C = 80-83; C – = 78-79) This grade applies to average work that still fulfills the course requirements.

D: Unsatisfactory (D+ = 76-77; D = 72-75; D – = 70-71) This grade, while indicating the student has completed a course, is to be understood as reflecting below average work. Course work receiving this grade will not be counted toward a degree.

F: Failure (69 and below) To receive credit in the subject, the course must be repeated.

ADDITIONAL COURSE GUIDELINES

Communication. I encourage you to email me with any questions and concerns, and I will make every effort to respond within 24 hours M–F. Before emailing a question, be sure the answer isn't contained in the course syllabus or assessment guidelines posted in Canvas.

Inclusive language. In accordance with the Seminary Writing Handbook, please use gender inclusive language when referring to people in general. The Handbook suggests using “he” and “she” interchangeably, but this can be cumbersome. Use of the singular gender inclusive “they” may be preferable. In theological writing, “humanity” is also often a relevant term to keep in mind when referring to people universally. Finally, I encourage you to consider how to refer to God in your work. Christian theology and liturgical practice has usually used masculine terms for God, but on the other hand has also affirmed that God is incorporeal (and so neither male nor female). While there is no requirement to refer to God using gender inclusive language in this course, using “Godself” or simply “God” instead of gendered pronouns is a common practice in theology.

Incomplete

As prerequisite to Thesis I and II, an important aspect of this course is your ability to manage your time and direct work independently. For this reason, the expectation/standard is that incompletes are not a possibility. Only in extremely exceptional cases will an incomplete be considered. Incompletes are not awarded except in extremely exceptional cases, and conversation with, and with the approval of, the MATS director.

Title IX

Students who believe they have been harassed, discriminated against, or involved in sexual violence should contact the Seminary Dean of Students and Community Life (773) 244-6222) or Dean of Students (773-244- 5565) or Director of Human Resources (773-244-5599) for information about campus resources and support services, including confidential counseling services.

As members of the North Park faculty, we are concerned about the well-being and development of our students and are available to discuss any concerns. Faculty are legally obligated to share information with the University's Title IX coordinator in certain situations help ensure that the student's safety and welfare is being addressed, consistent with the requirements of the law. These disclosures include, but are not limited to, reports of sexual assault, relational/domestic violence, and stalking.

Please refer to North Park's [Safe Community site](#) for contact information and further details.

Disabilities Accommodations

Your experience in this class is important to me. North Park is committed to creating inclusive and accessible learning environments consistent with federal and state law. If you have already established your accommodations, please share your accommodation letter with me so we can discuss how your accommodations will be implemented in this course. If you have not yet established services and have a temporary health condition or permanent disability that requires accommodations, please [email the Disability Access Specialist](#) or contact by phone (773-244-5737). Student Engagement facilitates the interactive process that establishes reasonable accommodations.

Academic Honesty

In keeping with our Christian heritage and commitment, North Park is committed to the highest possible ethical and moral standards. Just as we will constantly strive to live up to these high standards, we expect our students to do the same. To that end, cheating of any sort will not be tolerated. Students who are discovered cheating are subject to discipline up to and including failure of a course and expulsion. Our definition of cheating includes but is not limited to:

1. Plagiarism – the use of another's work as one's own without giving credit to the individual. This includes using materials from the internet.
2. Copying another's answers on an examination.
3. Deliberately allowing another to copy one's answers or work.
4. Signing an attendance roster for another who is not present.
5. Use of ChatGPT or other AI composition software to impersonate individual assignments.

For further information on this subject you may refer to the Academic Dishonesty section of the University's online catalog.

*Please see the academic handbook for issues of standards and plagiarism.

Textbook List

Required:

Adler, Mortimer J. and Charles Van Doren. *How to Read a Book*. Rev. and updated ed. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1972. ISBN: 0671212095. **(Hereafter: *How to Read a Book*) In the Reference Collection.**

Booth, Wayne C., Gregory G. Colomb, and Joseph M. Williams. *The Craft of Research*. 4th ed. Chicago Guides to Writing, Editing, and Publishing. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2016. ISBN: 9780226239736 **(Hereafter: *Craft of Research*) In the Reference Collection**

Kibbe, Michael. *From Topic to Thesis: A Guide to Theological Research*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2016. ISBN: 9780830851317 **(Hereafter *From Topic to Thesis*) In the Reference Collection**

Turabian, Kate L. *A Manual for Writers of Term Papers, Theses, and Dissertations: Chicago Style for Students and Researchers*. 9th ed. Rev. by Wayne C. Booth, Gregory G. Colomb, Joseph M. Williams, Joseph Bizup, and William T. Fitzgerald and the University of Chicago Editorial Staff. Chicago Guides to Writing, Editing, and Publishing. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2018. ISBN: 9780226430577 **(Hereafter: *Turabian*) In the Reference Collection**

Vyhmeister, Nancy Jean, and Terry Dwain Robertson. *Your Guide to Writing Quality Research Papers: For Students of Religion and Theology*, 3d ed. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2014. ISBN: 978-0310514022 **(Hereafter: *Quality Research Papers*) In the Reference Collection**

*Selected research articles (identified later, in the course schedule/outline).

Recommended Reading:

Stewart, David R. *The Literature of Theology: A Guide for Students and Pastors*. Rev. ed. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2003. **In the Reference Collection.**

Course Calendar

Key

“Reading” – reading that is to be completed by class.

“Course reading” includes required books and articles.

“Subject reading” includes reading done in your area of research.

Late assignments will be marked down a half letter grade per day.

See above booklist for book abbreviations.

Three Friday meetings, 9 am-5 pm:

February 6

March 6

April 10

Week 1: Jan 12-16 ONLINE
Course Overview and Brainstorm

Readings:

Jan. 15: *How to Read a Book*, ch. 1-3

Jan. 16: *From Topic to Thesis*, Appendix E

Discussion Assignments: Due Jan 16

1. Introductions: Please post a brief paragraph introducing yourself, providing your program, location, and present or anticipated vocation.
2. Share at least a paragraph or two on your general subject of interest, as far as you can at this stage. In turn, raise questions about each other's subjects, and become familiar with each other's areas of interest. (you can respond to your classmates' subject discussion over the next week)

Week 2: Jan 19-23 ONLINE

Readings & Due Dates:

Jan. 21: *How to Read a Book*, ch. 4-7

Jan. 22: *Quality Research Papers*, ch. 14-15

Jan. 23: 2 hours of subject reading (i.e., reading in your area of research interest for this course; at this stage, this may be reading to explore, clarify, and focus your research subject).

Research log/journal Due Jan. 19 (see assignment description earlier in the syllabus)

Research Exercise 1: X-ray of a book DUE Jan. 21 (also post on Canvas in the Discussion module)

Choose a book from your area of research interest for this course and "X-ray" the book (see *How to Read a Book*, ch. 7):

In a few sentences, what is the unity (main point) of the book?

What is its primary claim?

What are the major parts of the book?

How are they organized into a whole?

Week 3: Jan. 26-Jan. 30 Online

Assignments to turn in:

Research Exercise 2:

Develop an interest sketch of a subject that you are considering researching. Include the general subject you would like to research, why you think it is a good research subject, who would be the intended audience, and what you hope to accomplish in researching your subject (see *The Craft of Research*).

We will discuss these during our first Friday session. Students will read each other's subject sketches to assist in clarification and development.

DUE: Jan. 30, 9:00 am

Reading & due dates

Jan 28: "Urban Institute Guide for Racial Equity in the Research Process: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Council Working Group on Content and Communications." Urban Institute. September 2020 draft. (Will be posted in Canvas)

Jan. 29: *Craft of Research*, ch. 1-2

Jan. 30: *From Topic to Thesis*, "Introduction," ch. 1

Research log/journal **DUE Jan. 26**

Research Exercise 2: Subject Sketch **DUE Friday, Jan. 30, 9:00 am**

Week 4: Feb. 2-6 Online

Feb. 6 In-Person Session 9 am-5 pm

Library Orientation

I will provide an orientation to the library, introduction to the Reference Collection, databases, etc. You will be introduced to how to begin building your bibliographies.

Subjects

I will address the important aspects of coming up with a good subject and ways to refine your subject.

Research Exercise 3: Reference Books Bibliography Building (Students will begin their research during class time on Friday; further research can be done in the Library and using online reference works)

Reference books are helpful for getting general background information, overviews, etc. Using 3-5 different *Reference* books from the **Reference Collection** on the **first floor of the library** (e.g., encyclopedias, dictionaries, handbooks, NOT commentaries or monographs), locate 15-20 *additional* sources to which these reference books lead you. Reference books' articles may mention major works in the body of the articles. They also often include a bibliography at the end.

You should list the relevant items from the bibliography.

Part of the value of this exercise is in your identification of the reference works relevant for your subject. Be sure to note when you are working at the intersection of two or more subjects/disciplines and thus need to identify reference works in *both* fields.

Or, a reference book might define a term that leads you to go to another book that has other sources in the notes or bibliography, etc.

The format for your bibliography is as follows, using *full* citations for all sources:

1. Reference book 1, Article name, author's name
 - a. Source 1 (Why did you look at this source, i.e., why does it look relevant?)
 - b. Source 2 (Why did you look at this source?)
 - c. Source 3 (Why did you look at this source?)
 - d. Source 4 (Why did you look at this source?)
 - e. Source 5 (Why did you look at this source?)
2. Reference book 2
same as above
3. Reference book 3
same as above
4. Reference book 4, if necessary
same as above
5. Reference book 5, if necessary
same as above

This exercise should help you start your bibliography, further familiarize you with the library, help you think about your research steps, and further clarify your research subject. Some/most of the sources you find should be included in your later Bibliography assignment for your paper.

NOTE: You should expand/revise this bibliography continually throughout the semester, based on your reading and research. Do NOT wait to compile the bibliography for your paper/thesis until you are finished writing it!

Documenting sources exercise. ***Have your copy of Turabian handy!**

Reading & due dates

Feb. 4: *Quality Research Papers*, ch. 11 (and the relevant ch(s). from ch. 2-11);

Feb. 4: *Craft of Research*, ch. 3, 6;

Feb. 5: *How to Read a Book*, chapters 8-12;

Feb. 6: Familiarize yourself with Turabian's *Manual*, Part II;

2 hours of subject reading.

Research log/journal **DUE Monday, Feb. 2**

Week 5: Feb. 9-13 ONLINE

Building Bibliographies

Subject reading check-in

Evaluating sources

Research questions

Scholarly Journals

Evaluating Sources

We will discuss your journal research exercises (see below), learning to evaluate scholarly journals and how you can use them in your research and writing.

Readings & due dates:

Feb. 11: *Craft of Research*, ch. 4
Feb. 12: *From Topic to Thesis*, ch. 2, Appendix B, C;
Feb. 13: *Quality Research Papers*, ch. 19;
2 hours subject reading

Research log/journal **DUE Feb. 11**

Research Exercise 3: Reference Books bibliography building (complete the exercise that you began during class on campus the previous week) **DUE Feb. 9**

Research Exercise 4: Subject Questions

Make a list of 10 interesting questions that will help you to continue to narrow your subject and add to your thesis proposal. Choose the 3 best questions from your list and be prepared to discuss why. (See *Craft of Research*) **DUE Feb. 11**

Research Exercise 5:

Identify five scholarly journals that you think will be helpful for researching your subject (see the partial list in *From Topic to Thesis*, 123-125 for initial suggestions). Choose two of them.

Review the table of contents of these two journals each year from the last 5 years (these can be viewed online in the *ATLA Plus Religion Database*, under "Publications," second from the left in the tabs at the top of the ATLA homepage), and answer the following questions about *each* of the journals:

- (1) What kind of journal is it? Who produces it (scholarly society? Academic center/institute? School? Or?) What is its history? (has it had multiple 'homes' or owners?) How do you know it is reputable?
- (2) What is the general subject that the journal covers?
- (3) What specific subjects has the journal covered in the last 5 years? Can you note any trends in the research?
- (4) Choose ONE article from *each* journal (include the author, title, journal name/volume/date/pages). What is the main question that the article attempts to answer? **DUE Feb. 13**

Week 6: Feb. 16-20 ONLINE

Internet Sources

Reading & due dates:

Feb. 18: *Craft of Research*, ch. 5;
Feb. 19: *From Topic to Thesis*, ch. 3;
Feb. 20: *Quality Research Papers*, ch. 12;
4 hours subject reading.

Research log/journal **DUE Feb. 16**

Research Exercise 6: to be posted for discussion (due by Wednesday Feb. 18):

Identify 3-5 internet sites that might be useful for your research and explain briefly why/how they are useful for your research. Also, feel free to identify any sites that you judged unhelpful or poorly done. Please post this in the discussion module for class reading and response.

Research Exercise 7 (due Friday Feb. 20, 9 am)

Brainstorm 3 potential problems your research project might address (see *Craft of Research* ch. 5 and use the template they give there: "I am studying ____ in order to understand ____ so that I can ____").

Week 7: Feb. 23-Feb. 27 Online

Thesis statement

Readings & due dates:

Feb. 26: *From Topic to Thesis*, ch. 4-5;

Feb. 27: *Quality Research Papers*, ch. 16

Research log/journal **DUE Feb. 23**

Research Exercise 8 Thesis statement DUE Feb. 27

Draft a statement of the thesis of your research paper, in a **single** sentence. This, of course, is only a preliminary statement and will be revised as your research deepens your understanding, but the exercise of drafting a thesis statement is worthwhile even in a preliminary form. You will not be held to this version of your thesis statement when the paper is evaluated at the end of the course.

Week 8: March 2-6 Online**March 6 In-Person session 9 am-5 pm**

Discussion of how to find good problems.

Article analysis (see below)

Use of sources

Readings & due dates:

Article, William T. Cavanaugh, "Killing in the Name of God," *New Blackfriars*, 85, no. 999 (September 2004): 510-526) [Please note that you can find this in the *Academic Search Complete* database; it is indexed, but not found full-text, in *ATLA Plus Religion Database*]

2 hours subject reading

Article analysis: Write a 2-3 page paper analyzing Cavanaugh's article, "Killing in the Name of God," addressing the following matters:

Identify contradictions, inconsistencies, incomplete explanations, gaps.

What questions does this article take on?

How does it answer them or not?

Does it leave unanswered questions?

If so, what?

Compile Bibliography:

Build a working or preliminary bibliography around your focused subject.

Your bibliography should include at least 20 entries. At this stage, you do not need to have read an item to include it in the bibliography.

This bibliography builds on the earlier one that you compiled from reference works. This bibliography differs from the earlier one in that it should reflect your further research and consultations of some of the items. You may have decided to omit some works from the earlier bibliography and include additional items. Each entry must be **correctly cited** according to Turabian form or your project will be marked down.

For at least 3 of the entries, you must include an **annotation**. Describe the central purpose or claim of the item (book, article, chapter, etc.). You may want to use *How to Read a Book*, 46-47 (from chapter 5) as your loose guideline, though your annotation need not be as extensive as they suggest, i.e., you do not need to answer each of the 4 questions on 46-47.

To be turned in:

Research log/journal **Due: March 2**

Analysis of Cavanaugh, "Killing in the Name of God" **DUE by 9 am March 6**

Bibliography **DUE by 9 am March 6**

Week 9: March 9-13 Reading Week

Reading and research for semester projects:

Syntopical project

Research paper

Week 10: March 16-20 ONLINE

Methods & Argumentation

Work through the article below, discuss your own method for presenting your research, and discuss writing styles and audience.

Reading & due dates:

Craft of Research, ch. 7-11;

From Topic to Thesis, Appendix A;

Quality Research Papers, ch. 17;

Hyun Kyung Chung, "Han-pu-ri: Doing Theology from Korean Women's Perspective," *The Ecumenical Review*, 40, no 1 (Jan 1988): 27-36 [available in *ATLA Plus Religion Database*]

2 hours subject reading

Article Analysis 2: Read the article "Han-pu-ri" and analyze its argumentation in a 2-3 page paper. Address the following questions:

1. What is the main argument of the article? What is the author arguing for? Against?
2. What approach does the author take?
3. How does she make her claims? Support her argument?
4. What does the author assume?
5. Name and evaluate the strengths/weaknesses of the argument.

Research log/journal **DUE March 16**

Analysis paper on Chung, "Han-pu-ri." **DUE March 20**

Week 11: March 23-27 ONLINE

Readings & due dates:

Quality Research Papers, ch. 13

4 hours subject reading

Research log/journal **DUE March 23**

Week 12: March 30 - April 3 ONLINE

Readings & due dates:

Craft of Research, ch. 12

Quality Research Papers, ch. 21-22

4 hours subject reading

Research Exercise 9: Writing Sample Prepare a short (2 page) final form document, from your longer research paper, well-written, using correct Turabian style format and developing a *small segment* of persuasive argument, using and citing sources correctly. It can be from any portion of your paper. We will review and critique your samples.

Research log/journal **DUE March 30**

Writing Sample **DUE April 2**

Week 13: April 6-10 Online

April 10 In-Person Session 9 am-5 pm

Sentence Outlines (see *Craft of Research*, ch. 13): We will work through your outlines together, to clarify and develop.

Reading sample thesis / paper abstracts (to be distributed)

Drafting an abstract

Readings & due dates:

Craft of Research, ch. 13-14

2 hours subject reading

Research log/journal **DUE April 6**

Research Exercise 10 Sentence outline (DUE April 10 by 9:00 am)

Write a sentence outline of your research paper (see *Craft of Research*, ch. 13.)

This uses *complete sentences* instead of the phrases or sentence fragments of a typical outline.

Your outline should include a *single* complete sentence for *each* of the major arguments or sections and then for each of the next level of sub-points you will cover in each section.

Your research and writing are still in process, of course, so the statements are preliminary and subject to your later revision.

In other words, using a single full sentence for each point,

- (1) state the current form of your thesis
- (2) and then the major points of your argument for that thesis, including the conclusion.
- (3) Then provide sentence statements for primary sub-points (only the first level of sub-points, not sub-sub-points).

The point of the assignment is to identify the skeleton of your paper, apart from the meat or details, so that the flow of your argument is more easily visible.

Thesis abstract (due at the end of the class session, **April 10 5:00 pm**)

Students will write a thesis (or paper) abstract that is between 300-350 words.

Week 14: April 13-17 Online

Due dates:

Research log/journal **DUE April 13**

Syntopical Reading Project **DUE April 17**

Week 15: April 20-24 ONLINE

Use this week for writing and editing your paper. Please contact me with any questions or problems you may have.

Due dates:

Research log/journal **Due April 20**

Week 16: April 27-May 1 ONLINE

Final paper due: Friday May 8, 11:59 pm (Chicago time)